OFFICIAL REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

NATIONAL PETROLEUM COUNCIL

		Dogkot N		
		Docket P	No	
In the	matter ofANNUAL ME	ETING		
in the	matter of			
			<u> </u>	
Place	Washington, D.C.			
Date _	November 28, 1961			
	4	Pages	1-59	

MILLER COLUMBIAN REPORTING SERVICE

Official Reporter

931 G STREET, N. W.

WASHINGTON 1, D. C.

METROPOLITAN 8-1405

Our attendance records for NPE meeting show 52 members present. a quariem requires 56 members. Strangely enough the afficient transcript shows 57 members present. However it is in error masmuch as the following undividual wire not actually there:

- 1. Paul Benedum
- 2. Haward Cowden
- 3. Warwick Downing
- 4. B. S. Ssauls
- 5. W.W. Keeler
- 6. L.T. Patter

Inaddition, the transcript foul to chow J. E. Swearingen, unho was present.

. . . **. 5** .

	2	
	3	Roll Call and Introduction of New Members
v	. 4	Approval of Minutes of Meeting February 7, 1961
. <u>.</u>	5	Honorable Stewart L. Udall, Secretary of Interior
REPRODUCTION RESERVED	6 7	Report of Committee on Proved Petroleum and Natural Gas Reserves and Availability - L. F. McCollum, Chairman
NOIT		
EPRODUC	8 9	Remarks of Lawrence J. O'Connor, Commissioner, Federal Power Commission
ALL	10	Report of Committee on Oil and Gas Transportation Facilities, Dene B. Hodges, Assistant to Chairman
SERVICE N 1, D.C.	11	Report of Committee on Petrochemicals - Bruce K. Brown, Chairman
EFORTING SE eporter WASHINGTON 1,	12	
N REPORT al Reporter WASHIN	13	Report of the Agenda Committee - Howard Marshall
MILLER COLUMBIAN REPORTING Official Reporter 931 G STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON	14	Hon. John M. Kelly, Assistant Secretary of the Interior for Mineral Resources
MILLER I	15	Frederick S. Lott, Asst. Director of Office of Oil and Gas, Department of Interior
₽	16	
1961	17	Vice Admiral Burton B. Biggs, USN, Director, Petroleum Logistics Policy, Office of Assistant Secretary
RIGHT	18	of Defense (Supply & Logistics Policy), Depart- ment of Defense
COPYRI	19	Report of Secretary-Tressurer - James V. Brown
	20	(株) 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이
	21	
	22	
	23	Emiliano de la composición del composición de la composición de la composición de la composición del composición de la composición del composición de la composición del compo
•	24	l' .

6 Chairman, Presiding, 7 PRESENT: 9 10 11 Robert O. Anderson 12 Perry R. Bass 14 NV Paul G. Benedum 15 16 Eskil I. Bjork 17 Jacob Blaustein COPYRIGHT 18 Reid Brazell 19 J. S. Bridwell 20 Bruce K. Brown 21 E. O. Buck 22 23 James Comerford 24 D. L. Connelly 25 George R. Copeland

REPRODUCTION RESERVED

MILLER COLUMBIAN REPORTING SERVICE

MEETING OF THE

NATIONAL PRINCLEUM COUNCIL

Tuesday, November 28, 1961

The Council met at 9:30 a.m., Walter S. Hallanan,

Walter S. Hallenan, Chairman

James V. Brown, Secretary-Treasurer

Vincent M. Brown, Assistant Secretary-Treasurer

Grove Lawrence, Alternate

Fred E. Bergfors, Sr.

William P. Clements, Jr.

MILLER COLUMBIAN REPORTING SERVICE Official Reporter 931 G STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON 1, D. C.	1	№์ t∘	Howard A. Cowden
	2		John F. Cummins
	3		Morgan J. Davis
	. 4 .		J. C. Donnell II
	5		Fayette B. Dow
	6	N/o	Warwick M. Downing
	7		Presson Sutton, Alternate
	8		Harold Kennedy, Alternate
	9		Larry H. Gall, Alternate
	10		Paul Endacott
	11		Thomas J. Fountain, Jr.
	12		B. C. Graves
	13 -	NO	B. I. Graves
COLUMB Off Street, N.	14		Russell H. Green
MILLER 931 G S	15		Walter S. Hallanan
¥	16		Jake L. Hamon
T 1961	17		John Harper
COPYRIGHT	18		I. W. Hartman
. <u>0</u>	19		Alvin C. Hope
	20		Paul N. Howell
	21	1 2 2 2 1 1 1 NO 1	William Keeler
	22	A	W. H. Larkin
	23		Max R. Lents
	24		K. W. Foley, Alternate
	25		H. M. McClure, Jr.

	4	î .			
	1		L. F. McCollum	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
N RESERVED	2		D. A. McGee	.1	:
	3		B. L. Majewski		
	4		J. Howard Marshall		
	5		Don H. Miller		
	6		Mr. Campbell, Alternate		
	7		Glenn R. Nielson		
опстіо	٠. 8		S. F. Niness		
ALL REPRODUCTION WASHINGTON 1, D. C.	9		Joseph L. Nolan		
	10				
	11		Mr. Barnett, Alternate		
		,	J. R. Parten	%1 N	
leporter WASHING	12		Frank M. Porter	: •	
7	13	NO 1/0	L. T. Potter		
Officia 931 G STREET, N. W.	14		A, S. Ritchie	: -:	
	15		M. H. Robinesu		
COPYRIGHT 1961 BY	16		A. H. Rowan		
	17		Dene Hodges, Alternate		
	18		Clyde Poster, Alternate		
	19		P. C. Spencer		
	20		Mr. Ranaford, Alternate		
	21	STANERSON	James Johnstone, Jr., Al	terna te	
	22	The state of the s	Richard L. Vockel		
	23		J. Ed. Warren	1 电基金管学	
	24		Everett P. Wells	一直小型的 對於義之故	
	25		W. C. Whaley		
		11 - 1			

MILLER COLUMBIAN REPORTING SERVICE

John H. White S. D. Whiteman

ALL REPRODUCTION RESERVED

MILLER COLUMBIAN REPORTING SERV Official Reporter 931 G STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON 1, D.

COPYRIGHT 1961 BY

PROCEEDINGS

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, the Council will please come to order.

The Secretary will please call the roll. There are several new members of the Council who have been appointed since the last meeting, and as their names are called and if they are here for today's session, I should like to ask them to stand so I can introduce them to the members of the Council.

Please proceed.

(At this point Mr. James V. Brown, Secretary-Treasurer called the roll, and the members of the Council present were as indicated above.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, we are losing the services at the end of this year of one of the members of the Council, Mr. P. C. Spencer, who is retiring as chief executive officer of his company, the Sinclair Oil Corporation. I do not want this opportunity to go by without telling you, Mr. Spencer, how wonderful it has been to have you as a member of this Council, how great it has been to have your immediate response at every call that has been made upon you, and to express our gratitude for your work with the Council and to wish you Godspeed.

(Applause)

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. B. C. Graves, would you stand, please? I want to acknowledge your great contribution to the

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

work of the Council. I know you are retiring, and you will not be with us again after the first of the year, but I want to take this opportunity publicly to express our gratitude to you for the great contribution you have made to the success of this organization. Godspeed to you.

(Appleuse)

MR. GRAVES: Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, at this time I went to present the Co-Chairman of the Agenda Committee, the Assistant Secretary of the Interior, Mr. (deorge Kelly, I am not calling upon him to make any remarks at this time, but I want to present I think most of you know him as a practical independent oil man out in New Mexico. He has come here to do his part for the Covernment in a great national emergency, and I want to present at this time Mr. (George) S. Kelly, the Assistant Secretary of the Interior in Charge of Mineral Resources.

MR. KELLY: Thank you, Mr. Hallanan. I am not the map-making Kelly. I am John M. Kelly, the map ruining Kelly, I probably should say,

MR. MAJEWSKI: So the names are all in order now, your name is Hallanan, I take it, and not Hallahan,

THE CHAIRMAN: The next order of business is the approval of the minutes of the meeting held on February 7, 1961, which were mailed to the members a good many months ago.

SERVICE G STREET, N. W.

Я

23 /

MR. HAMON: I move they be approved without reading.

(The motion was seconded.)

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been moved and seconded that the minutes be approved without reading. All in fevor say "aye."

(All say "aye.")

Opposed?

(No response)

last meeting on February 7, 1961, I think it perhaps may be in line for some explanation to be made as to the reason for their being no meeting in the interim. I covered the reasons pretty thoroughly in a letter sent to the members on September 7th, but I think it appropriate at this time to add to that letter by saying that the fact that we haven't had any meetings doesn't mean that the Council hasn't been actively engaged in the pursuit of their studies which were authorized at the February meeting. The truth of the matter is that the Council is carrying the largest workload that it has from its inception.

We have had to add two new members to our permanent staff, and these studies, the study on transportation involves an enormous amount of work, as well as the study on adequacy of petrochemical development, and I think the recommendations that will be made today that will flow from the Agenda Committee will probably constitute two additional very voluminous

assignments for the Council to undertake.

Mr. Vincent Brown, would you elaborate on that for a

MR. VINCENT BROWN: Gentlemen, it has been the privilege of Jim Brown and myself to work closely with Walter Hallanen and you, as well as many capable and hard-working of and gas technicians in your companies and the industry, for several years. May we of the NPC staff take this opportunity to thank you for your time, cooperation and patience. The calibre of technical men you make available to Council working groups is living testimony of the high esteem in which you hold the purpose and objectives of the Council.

May I point out a significant development which has come about in the NPC Committee work beginning with 1959.

Seven comprehensive studies have been undertaken by the Council since 1959, five of which are completed and two of which are still under way. These include highly detailed studies on National Emergency Oil & Gas Mobilization, Storage Facilities, Maintenance and Chemical Requirements for U.S. Refineries and Natural Gasoline Plants, Use of the Radio Spectrum, and Proved Discoveries and Availability of Crude Oil and Natural Gas. The overall transportation facilities atudy and the petrochemicals survey is well into the working stage.

In all these projects we would like to call your

ettention to the four following points that represent somewhat of a departure from our work in the earlier years of the National Petroleum Council:

Pirat, each of these studies were requested by the Department of the Interior in order to provide the Department with accurate, comprehensive, and detailed factual information on the facilities and capabilities of the oil and gas industries. Interior requested this data primarily to help it fulfill its responsibilities under the National Plan for Civil and Defense Mobilization.

Secondly, the subject matter in five of the seven studies mentioned had never been previously undertaken by the Council; and no previous studies ever set forth comparable detail on exact geographical locations, capabilities, and types of facilities within the industry.

revised committee procedures since January, 1959. This has brought about the personal attendance at most committee and subcommittee meetings of a member of the Council staff. In addition, it is our policy to restrict the handling, analysis and tabulation of individual company data to the N.P.C. staff in order to preserve the confidential nature of such detail and to afford the ultimate degree of legal protection to everyone in the collection of such material.

Pourthly, the volume of the survey work involved

G STREET, N. W.

(e.g. we have at present five different sets of questionnaires sent out to an aggregate of 11,000 companies) has prompted us to install, this year, partial electronic data processing equipment at the Council office.

Now, our purpose in mentioning the somewhat changed nature of the N.P.C. work is to indicate that these far-reaching studies inherently demand a longer period of time for completion, both on the part of the Committees, as well as your Council staff. This frequently, in turn, results in longer intervals between Council meetings. During these intervals, I think you will agree, the Council, itself, is really hard at work, in the field, in Committee operations, and in staff detail. We are, I believe, in light of the greater precision of the finished product, obtaining for the Department of the Interior the information it requests as fast and as accurately as possible.

It is a pleasure to speak to you. It is the wish of your Council staff to be, at all times, as cooperative and helpful to you as all of you have been to us. Please call upous whenever we can be of assistance to you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.

Gentlemen, is Mr. Larry O'Connor, of the Federal
Power Commission in the room? Please stand up, and won't you
come up, Mr. O'Connor and sit with us up here? We are glad
to welcome you up here at the Council table. Gentlemen, Mr.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

ä 1961 COPYRIGHT

O'Connor, of the Federal Power Commission.

(Applause)

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair recognizes at this time the distinguished and newly elected Chairman of the Board of Directors of the American Petroleum Institute to present the final report of the Committee on Proved Petroleum and Natural Gas Reserves and Availability.

This report, as you remember, was sent to the members of the Council after the last meeting, but it is now in order for the Council to adopt it as a whole. Mr. McCollum.

MR. MC COLLUM: Gentlemen, this report by me today will be more in the form of a post mortum, because on February 7th of this year the Report on Proved Discoveries and Productive Capacity of Crude Oil and Natural Gas and Natural Gas Liquids in the United States was rather finally presented, with certain suggested modifications, which were made at a meeting. suggestions have been taken into account and modifications have been made, and the final report has been submitted.

Because there are some new members of the Council, I shall go through this and briefly review the report and present some of the highlights for you.

The productive capacity of crude oil, natural gas liquids, and natural gas in the United States on January 1, 1960, were estimated to be:

Crude 011

12,384,600 barrels daily

Natural Gas Liquids

1,799,600 berrels daily

Natural Gas 71,504,000 thousand cubic feet daily

Now, these estimates represent the peak rates at which oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids could be produced, on January 1, 1960, from existing fields and wells. The study provides information on the maximum potential productive capacity of the nation's oil and gas fields during an all-out mobilization effort, rather than actual evailability at the present time. Availability during normal peacetime conditions is influenced by (a) the adequacy of surface facilities for handling, processing, storing or transporting oil and gas and (b) by existing governmental regulations.

The present study indicates an increase of crude oil productive capacity since January 1, 1957, or 718,000 barrels per day. This represents on an annual basis an increase from 1957 to 1960 of 238,000 barrels per day. The Committee also made two other estimates: (a) The decline in productive capacity which would occur over a two-year period if all drilling were to cease. (b) The number of wells necessary to maintain the estimated productive capacity of January 1, 1960.

The report presents historical tabulations of proved discoveries of crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids in the United States summarized by PAD districts. These tabulations do not represent new and original findings by the Council Committee with respect to remaining U.S. reserves, but in

essence are a recesting of known reserves according to the discovery years of the fields to which the reserves are attributed.

The report provides estimates of total expected recoveries from fields discovered through 195%. Now, these estimates include both past production and remaining proved reserves and are based upon field size and past performance, as such factors were known at the beginning of 1960, and give the following results:

Crude Oil 91,424,672 thousand barrels
Natural Gas Liquids 10,408,958 thousand barrels
Natural Gas 419,052,526 millions of cubic feet

The Committee emphasizes that periodical estimates of recoverable reserves from the average field usually increase several fold over the estimate made at the end of the original discovery year. Such estimates continue to increase from various causes for a great many years.

The Committee interprets its findings as showing the following:

1. The estimated proved recovery from newly discovered fields, when estimated at the end of the original discovery year by present industry concepts and methods, represents only a small fraction of the hydrocarbons that will ultimately prove to be recoverable.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WASHINGTON

The aggregate of all fields discovered, from newly discovered ones to those a century old, represents an enormous base for future additions to reserves through further extensions and revisions.

The rate of discovery of new fields, and the rate of increase in estimated additions to reserves of existing righds, are inseparably related to the intensity of the effort expended in the search for oil, in both exploratory and development drilling, and in technologic development and its application through improved recovery methods. The intensity of such sotivities depends, in turn, on the needs reflected in growth demand, and on economic incentives,

Two printings, of the report on proved petroleum and natural gas reserves and availability have been made. These total , 1600 copies, of which 1,200 copies have been distributed so far. About 300 copies went to members of the MPC, and each individual who served on the working subcommittees. Approximetely 300 copies went to the Department of the Interior and other Government agencies, such as Navy, Department of Defense, Pederal Power Commission, and the Senste Interior Committee.

The balance of the copies distributed were requested directly by colleges and universities, banking and insurance firms, members of the oil and gas industry, and representatives of other industries, such as electrical, chemical, and steel. Other requests were from foreign governments and corporations

of Japan, India, Canada, Venezuela, England and France.

In all, over 100 men served directly in the preparation of this report. For the most part, they were engineers and geologists with many years of experience in appraising problems of this nature. Also, information was obtained locally through the generous cooperation of many producers, pipeline companies, and gasoline plant operators, both large and small. The concerted effort and good judgment of these people were incorporated into the Committee's report.

The overall effort was divided into two working groups. The Vice Chairman of the Main Committee who headed up the crude oil side of the study was Mr. Morgan J. Davis, Chairman of the Board of Humble Oil & Refining Company. Mr. N. C. McGowen, Chairman of the Board of United Gas Corporation, was responsible for the activities involving natural gas and natural gas liquids. Mr. Stuart Buckley of Humble Oil & Refining and Mr. Ed Parkes of United Gas headed the Coordinating Subcommittees for crude oil and natural gas-natural gas liquids, respectively. I want to thank these men for their diligent and thoughtful assistance.

The mission of the Committee on Proved Petroleum and Natural Gas Reserves and Availability has been accomplished.

I move that the Committee's report, which was discussed before this group last February prior to its release in May, be formally adopted at this time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I further move that this Committee be dismissed.

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been moved that the report of the Committee be adopted as a finality and that the Committee be discharged from further responsibility. Is there a second to the motion?

(The motion was seconded)

THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor say "aye."

(All say "aye.")

Opposed?

(No response.)

THE CHAIRMAN: The report is unanimously adopted, with many thanks and gratitude to you, Mr. McCollum, for an able job.

Gentlemen, at our last meeting on February 7th,

Secretary of the Interior Udall had just come into office a
short few days before. He addressed us on that occasion, and
in the meantime I want to say while we have not had any formal
meetings in the meantime the train of cooperation that has
existed all the way through between the Government and the
National Petroleum Council has continued harmoniously and without interruption. We are happy that he has returned safely
from Japan, and I am pleased that he has come here this morning
in his position not only as Secretary of the Interior, but as
Go-Chairman of the Council.

I now present the Secretary of the Interior.

(Applause)

MR. UDALL: Thank you very much, Walter. It is nice to meet with a group that doesn't get inteelf involved in controversy. I don't see on the agenda any proposal here to any statute or any differing with former members of the organization, so I am happy to meet under such noncontroversial circumstances.

As the Chairman has indicated, my first meeting with you and appearance before you some ten months ago, I was green as gress. I probably still am. I have come along in this intervening period as a result of having to become deeply involved in an understanding of your problems and policies and solution of some of these problems to get rather thoroughly indoctrinated into some of the very complex problems that the petroleum industry in this country has, and there have been moments when I thought I was wiser and some when I thought I was adder, but all in all it has been a very interesting experience.

But I do want to say one thing, and I want to pay a brief tribute here today to one of my men, and I am sure it will fall on ears that will be receptive. I think that I have in my Assistant Secretary, John Kelly, a man whose judgment is about as sound on matters of public policy as anyone that it has been my privilege to work with in Government, whose integrity has the ring of truth in everything that he does, and I think that both I as Secretary of the Interior and you as the petroleum industry are most fortunate in having a man

such as John Kelly to work closely day in and day out and to keep an eye on your problems, and he is in my opinion doing a tremendous job.

(Applause)

I just want to make a few general remarks today, and one is that one of our constant concerns with your industry, this industry that is so vital in terms of providing the energy requirements not only of our country, but of the Free World, that we not only understand your problems, but that we do what we can in the limited way the Government affects and touches your industry in terms of enabling you to compete better with a trengthening your capacity to conduct your activities not only in the marketplace in this country, but in the world marketplace.

You are going to hear a great deal--there has been something in the paper almost every day. It is going to increase rather than diminish--about our entering a new era of world trade, and it is very plain to many of us that unless our country can lead the way towards new trade patterns in the world that our world leadership will falter, and I think this is one of the simple truths that we are confronted with.

Of course, the petroleum industry has always been a leader in the field of international trade. You people have invested more, you have done more in the past two or three decades in terms of engaging in international trade operations,

engaging in investing American monies in the development of the petroleum potential, of further flung countries around the globe than almost any other, and so you know already because of your experience and leadership the importance of this point which I make here today.

But I would like to say that as near as we can do it—and this is what Secretary Kelly and I have made our goal—we shall attempt to harmonize the needs of our country in terms of long term objectives with the immediate needs of the industry in terms of our own economy and our own markets.

This is going to require adjustments from time to time. It is going to require a flexible approach, I think, on behalf of everyone, but nevertheless this is one of the last segments of our industry and our economy that I would expect to want to provide a breakthrough or that would hold back in terms of meeting the future whatever it has to hold.

It has been customary for the members of this Council to view the broad aspects of petroleum thoughtfully as part of your daily lives as businessmen. Hence you are fully conscious as are officials of the Government of the importance and instant remifications of the problems that we have, economic problems and political problems in the world today, and there are big and significant changes taking place, as we all know.

I refer, of course, to the well publicized developments such as the highly successful common market in Europe.

Who would have anticipated this development even five or ten
years ago? The prospective entry into that market of the United
Kingdom has been a recent development, and the attendant changes
that must take place relative to the British Commonwealth of
Nations, and the rapid advance of Soviet oil into Free World
markets that is causing many of us concern, and the continuing
liquidation of the colonial system that is proceeding at rates
so rapid that many have been astonished. We have deep concern,
of course, about all of these problems.

It seems to me that a prime lesson for us in all of these rapid changes is the realization that the United States, although an important partner in the Free World economy, must live in a political and economic climate that is being greatly altered by forces that are not within our control. Hence, we must study these forces, their implications, the pressures developing, and the imponderables that accompany them with an objective mind and a serious purpose to understand them in terms of United States interest. Our competitive position must be maintained and atrengthened within our fast changing surroundings. This means that we can neglect at our peril the constant effort to improve our levels of cost of output of goods and services, vis-s-vis the strong competitive units that are gaining momentum overseas.

With respect to petroleum specifically, the responsibilities of this Department are focused upon conservation

and security. I need not remind you that these are both closely related to foreign trade. We have for a number of years been losing our export markets in petroleum while experiencing the mounting pressures since World War II to import more oil into this country. The imports problem must of course be viewed in the context I have suggested above which inherently requires a flexible approach to reflect significant new trends and influences. Our imports policy should be always consistent as far as possible with broad trade policies of this country, and compatible with our worldwide economic and political objectives.

In our planning for security, significant progress has recently been made to permit the organization again of a group of industry experts to analyze and appraise problems of petroleum supply and demand in emergency, with particular reference to the needs of the Department of Defense. Secretary Kelly will refer more specifically to this development.

The importance of rapid changes taking place in the Free World--indeed, in the whole world--cannot in my opinion be overeemphasized in connection with making our future plans because the foundation structure of the world is in an era of change as never before in our history. Thus a broad coordination of thinking and effort must be achieved to effectively meet our responsibilities. This is fully as important in the field of petroleum as in any other, as you know. Many of us in the past, even some today, have had the tendency to view petroleum

. 9

problems with a rather detached concentration upon this industry. This is surely not a valid approach in the present circumstances. The word "interdependence" has perhaps been too widely used recently but whether we like it or not, the problems of oil are entwined with broader problems everywhere. The task of appraising and finding solutions for these problems becomes more difficult with time. We must all work together in mutual understanding, patience, and tolerance in the knowledge that an enlightened approach, although difficult and perhaps time—consuming, is the only one that can be acceptable as our course to wise policies.

It has been said that "the past is porlogue." In our forward-looking democracy, the private citizens in this room are in a position to make great contributions in the vital field of petroleum toward grasping for the Free World the limitless opportunities of the future.

It is a real pleasure to meet with you again here today, and it will be, I am sure, rewarding for me to particl-pate in your deliberations.

Thank you very much.

(Applause)

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, I regret to advise that Mr. Alfred Jacobson, who has been Chairman of the Council's Agenda Committee for a great number of years, and who in that capacity has performed distinguished service to the industry

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0

931 G STREET,

and to the Council is unable to attend this meeting today. He asked me to convey his greetings to the Council and his regrets that he couldn't be here. He has been hospitalized three or four times for surgery of the retine of an eye, and while he is on half duty at his office now the doctor would not permit him to come to this meeting,

I think if the Chairman may suggest it that on behalf of the Council I might send a belegrem of greating to him and best wishes and hope for his speedy recovery.

> (The motion was made, accorded and carried.) THE CHAIRMAN: It will be so done.

In Mr. Jacobson's absence, we have designated Mr. Howard Marshall as the Acting Chairman of the Agenda Committee. Mr. Marchall, are you prepared to present your report?

MR. MARSHALL: Mr. Chairman, as you know, the Agenda Committee met early this morning with Assistant Secretary Kelly, and I understand from looking at Mr. Brown up here it will be about five minutes before we have completed the typing and preparation of the Committee's report. So if I could ask for a short postponement, I would appreciate that.

THE CHAIRMAN: That will be fine. I had the impression that it had been placed in your hand, Mr. Marshall.

MR. MARSHALL: About five minutes. I guess.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. O'Connor, we feel that you are at home among us, and being more formally connected with the oil

and Gas Industry as a member of the Federal Power Commission, we will be certainly interested in any observations you have to make to the group at this time.

MR. O'CONNOR: This is an unexpected privilege to be asked to say a few words to you people, many of whom I have known my entire adult business life.

It is customery, I think, in the Federal Power Commission to preface each remark by saying that you speak only for yourself and not for the other Commissioners. Since my remarks are not prepared, I am going to say that what I say now I think I speak for all of the Commissioners.

In the short time that I have been there I know that the Chairman and Commissioners Morgan and Ross certainly have come to this Commission knowing the great responsibility that they have undertaken to try to help in the problems of this industry and do something for them on a national basis. I know that each of these Commissioners are directing a tremendous amount of their time to the problems of this industry, and I feel that what time I have spent there, what time I have been out in the industry, that the climate for solution to our problems I believe is better than it has been at any time since 1950, I would say.

I know that if the solution is going to rest in the ability of reasonable people to direct their attention to our problems, if the knit pickers on either side are going to insist

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on getting their way, I don't think our problems will ever be solved, but I really thoroughly believe I can sasure you people today that the present Commissioners are going to do their best. They are men of high intellect and integrity, and I think we are going to do a good job for you. Thank you.

(Applause)

THE CHAIRMAN: Pending the report of the Agenda Committee, we will pass now to the Progress Report of the Committee on Oil and Gas Transportation Facilities to be presented by Mr. Dene Hodges, Assistant to the Chairman of that Committee. Mr. Hodges,

MR. HODGES: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Secretary, Gentlemen:
The following subcommittees have been established and active
since last July: The Subcommittees on Gas Pipeline Transportstion, Inland Waterways Transportation, Petroleum Pipeline
Transportation, Tank Car Transportation and Tank Truck Transportation.

The subcommittee on Tank Car Transportation found it possible to use data already on file with the American Railway Car Institute and the Association of American Railroads. As a result, their report has already been completed. It shows the availability of tank cars by type and capacity.

While the Subcommittee on Inland Waterways Transportstion was able to obtain a complete listing of equipment from United States Coast Guard data, it is necessary to review the

list to eliminate tank vessels in other than petroleum service.

This study is underway. The final report will show the type
of equipment by location and by capacity.

The detailed information required with regard to oil and gas pipelines requires the use of questionnaires. 85 questionnaires have been sent to interstate and intrastate gas transmission companies and 250 questionnaires to the companies operating either or both product and crude pipelines. In addition, all of these companies have been asked to show the exact location of all of their facilities on a set of U.S. strategic maps.

The subcommittees will then transpose the individual systems onto a master set of these maps. The information required with regard to pipeli as is rather great. We have been asked for location of river crossings, location of pump stations and compressor stations, type of prime mover, whether or not they are automated, what is, the extent of manning required, and various other data.

The last densus of for-hire carrier trucks was made in 1954, and there has been no census of private carrier trucks. It was falt that a nose count was desirable, and accordingly the Subcommittee on Tank Truck Transportation has mailed some 9,000 questionnaires. Approximately 8,000 of these have been sent to private tank truck operators.

All three of these last subcommittees face a substantial amount of work after replies to the questionnairs are

received. A prompt response from everyone receiving these inquiries is necessary to an early completion of the project. Any assistance you can give in this regard will be greatly appreciated. At best, reports from these three subcommittee cannot be expected until after the first of the year, but it is hoped that a complete report can be made to the Council early in 1962.

I should like to add that the Council staff has prowided valuable guidance and essistance in the work that has been done to date. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Hodges.

Mr. Bruce K. Brown, Chairman of the Committee on Petrochemicals, are you prepared to make a progress report, Mr. Brown?

MR. BRUCE BROWN: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Secretary, Gentlemen:

on December 19, 1960, the Secretary of the Interior made his request of the Council for a report on petrochemicals and this was considered at a meeting of its Agenda Committee on February 6, 1961. The question, as proposed, requested the Council to undertake a study to determine production capacity as of January 1, 1961, actual 1960 production, raw materials requirements, purchased electric power requirements, manpower requirements, and plant locations by latitude and longitude of all petrochemical facilities in the United States owned or operated

Я

by petroleum and gas companies, or for petrochemicals made in petroleum facilities.

"petrochemical", but for our purposes we can assume that it means chemicals produced from petroleum hydrocarbon raw materials.

But the word "chemical" isn't too easy to define precisely.

For example, "butylene" is regarded as a chemical by some companies and in some uses; whereas in an orthodox refining operation it is just a hydrocarbon. Further, under any definitiin, petrochemicals are produced by many companies that are not classified for governmental purposes as "petroleum companies" or "gas companies."

These anomalies were recognized by the Agenda Committee. I quote the following from its minutes.

"Consider discussion developed with respect to this request for a petrochemicals study. It was the concensus of the Committee that the industry would not object to giving volume information. However, Mr. Follis pointed out that the line between petrochemical facilities owned or operated by the oil industry, and those owned or operated by the chemical industry, was extremely difficult to distinguish. He emphasized that, unless the government could define this line carefully, the committee to make the study might find itself moving into an overall chemical survey, to which the chemical industry might have some objections.

.12

chemical production capacity in the cil and gas industry was needed to permit the Office of Oil and Gas to discharge its mobilization planning function in this field. He noted that the term "petrochemicals" is not at present well defined as to exactly what products are included. The Department of Interior's responsibility in this field covers only petrochemicals produced "by petroleum and gas companies or in petroleum facilities." He commented that the problems of exactly what compounds should be covered and avoidance of duplicate reporting could best be handled by a committee appointed by the Council. He assured the Agenda Committee that a government cochairman would work very closely with the committee undertaking the petrochemical study."

The Agenda Committee did approve the making of the study, but with an admonition which I quote:

"Because of the great complexity of the subject, the Committee to be appointed should confer thoroughly with representatives of the Department of the Interior so as to define the exact scope of the study and determine the manner in which the committee can best comply with the request of the Department of the Interior."

On April 19, 1961, a Petrochemicals Committee of 19 Council members was appointed by Mr. Hallanan with myself as

Chairman. In June a Technical Subcommittee of 14 chemical experts was appointed with T. L. Cubbage, Vice President of Phillips Chemical Company, as its Chairman. The Subcommittee held two meetings in the Council offices, first on July 11-12, and finally on September 19, 1961. The Government Co-Chairman, Mr. Maurice Webster, and I attended the meetings, and we were greatly helped by the attendance, as informal observers, of certain chemical experts of the Department of Commerce.

The Subcommittee compiled a list of 86 specific chemicals manufactured by oil and gas companies which currently move in trade and are manufactured to qualities meeting commercial specifications for chemical use. It gave consideration to the most practical procedures for collecting the information requested on raw material, manpower and electric power requirements in a form that would avoid great detail and might be truly useful to governmental authorities. In this work it had the help of the Office of Oil and Gas and the approval of its Government Go-Chairman, Mr. Webster.

On November 22 a printed questionnaire designed to adduce the desired information was mailed to 285 refining companies and 183 natural gasoline plants over the signature of Mr. Cubbage, Subcommittee Chairman. The 285 refining companies actually include about fifteen chemical manufacturing companies in which a petroleum or gas company has an interest of 50 percent or more.

The letter transmitting the questionnaire said:

"The information requested by the Government will be vital to bring order out of chaos during any postattack or disaster period. Your cooperation in completing and returning these questionnaires promptly will be deeply appreciated since the task of compiling the information and preparing the necessary disaster plans are of urgent importance.

"All data and information furnished by you will be kept strictly confidential, except that it will be available to Governmental Defense Agencies for use in classified studies. All processing and tabulating of quwstionnaires will be done by the Council staff after coding the filled in forms. Only industry group totals will appear in the final report of the Subcommittee. In no event will data be reported on chemicals manufactured by less than three producers."

of skilled work by the Subcommittee and the Council staff--at Washington meetings and in the offices of its members. I think they did a good job of coping with a somewhat nebulous problem, eliminating complexities and non-essentials and preparing a pattern of inquiry which should produce for the Interior Department the basic information which it requires to discharge its mobilization responsibilities. Of course, any report based

on our questionnaire will tell only part of the total story.

It will remain for the Department of Commerce to follow through with a similar study of petrochemical manufacturing by companies falling in its jurisdiction. Unless that is done the whole petrochemical picture will not be available.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Brown.

Mr. Marshall, are you now prepared to present the report of the Agenda Committee.

MR. MARSHALL: Gentlemen, as the Chairman has already explained to you, I am merely pinch-hitting for the Chairman of the Agenda Committee, Mr. Jacobson, and I am sure you regret as much as the Committee does that he can't be with us here today.

I submit the following report on behalf of the Agenda Committee:

Committee of the National Petroleum Council made by the Committee's Chairman, Mr. A. Jacobson in his wire to the members on November 13, 1961, and with the approval of the meeting, and its agenda t. Ving been obtained from the Honorable John M. Kelly, Assistant Secretary of the Interior, and Government Co-Chairman of the Committee, confirmed by his letter of November 20, 1961, the agenda Committee met on November 27, 1961 and again on November 28th at 8:30 a.m. in the offices of the National Petroleum Council in Washington, D. C.

Under date of November 20, 1961, the Honorable John M. Kelly addressed a letter, a copy of which is attached to this report, to Mr. Walter S. Hallanan, Chairman of the National Petroleum Council, requesting the Council to undertake a comprehensive study indicating the relationship between useable inventory and storage capacity of crude oil, natural gas, liquids and major petroleum products. I don't believe that it is necessary for me to read Secretary Kelly's letter. I think it is sufficient to say that what this asks us to do is to bring down to date similar reports prepared by the Council in 1948, 1950, 1952 and 1957.

As provided in the articles of organization of the Council, this letter was considered at the above-mentioned meeting of the Agenda Committee, at which meeting it was unanimously agreed to recommend to the Council the appointment of a committee to make the study as requested by Secretary Kelly and to report to the Council. The Committee undertaking the study should not suggest plans or programs but should confine reports to findings of fact.

Now, under date of November 28, 1961, the Honorable
John M. Kelly addressed a letter, copy of which is attached here to,
to Mr. Walter Hallanan, Chairman of the Council, requesting the
Council to make a factual study of the effects on the free world
of the exports of petroleum from the Soviet bloc together with
Buch comments and conclusions as are deemed appropriate.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

200

"The Department of Interior views with concern the growing shipments of petroleum from the Soviet blue to the Free World. Because of the varied but widespread impact of these shipments upon Free World nations and upon international relationships between the United States and other nations, it is greatly in the interests of national security for this situation to be better understood.

"Accordingly, the National Petroleum Council
is requested to make a factual study of the effects
on the Free World of the exports of petroleum from
the Soviet blod, together with such comments and
conclusions as are deemed appropriate.

"Sincerely yours, John M. Kelly,

Assistant Secretary of Interior.

As provided in the Articles of Organization of the Council this letter was considered at the above meeting of the Agenda Committee, at which meeting it was unanimously agreed to recommend to the Council the appointment of a committee to make a study as requested by Secretary Kelly and to report to the Council. The committee undertaking the study

. Ž should not suggest plans or programs, but should confine reports to findings of fact.

Respectfully submitted, J. Howard Marshall, Acting Chairman of the Agenda Committee.

Mr. Chairman, I move you that the Council approve the report of the Agenda Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: You have heard the motion. Is there any comment? The Chair recognizes Mr. Majewski.

MR. MAJEWSKI: I would like to make some comment, and I would like to go up there.

MR. MARSHALL: Barney, it is always a pleasure to yield to you.

MR. MAJENSKI: This subject introduced by the Acting Chairman of the Agenda Committee on this very vital question needs, I think, a little explanation from some of us who have been thinking strongly about it.

Union, including trade in oil, is a state monopoly. Being an American, I object to that. It is a power weapon, an instrument of Soviet national policy, and its avowed goal to impose Communism on the rest of the world.

The Soviets -- I have to watch this carefully. They
now write everything out when I make a little talk here because
sometimes my fellow members criticize me when I skip over the
next sentence because it is hell and damnation to the devil, the

. Ż communists, and, of course, I have to be considerate to our people in international trade, I don't follow up their mess kit with the governments that they deal with because in international trade you don't deal like you do here at home. You deal with governments, and very important governments.

The most effective weapon of the Soviets is oilling this all-out offensive, in that they help the USSR to gain economic and political control over both oil consuming and oil producing countries and to disrupt the structure of international trade with the destruction of the free private oil industry being their primary target, Here and abroad we are not without a threat also.

I remember oil coming—I have been in this business,

I was going to say racket, but as I get older I clean up my
language a bit, I remember when a cargo of foreign oil came
to Detroit. I don't know how many people remember that, but
an American citizen brought it in and brought it in because it
was so cheep, and God knows it was cheep then here in this
country, but they brought in foreign oil, and if you don't remember
it, it was the Sunnyside Service Station Company of Detroit.
Never mind the seller, he is dead, that brought the oil here.

But the Soviet trade is political trade, It cannot be considered or treated like normal commercial business. It employs techniques of economic aggression and durress which are not available to the international oil trade, and certainly not

barter, government to government agreements, discriminatory pricing and exclusion by first of all competition from their home markets. You have got about as much chance to get into the oil business in Soviet Russia as a snowball has in hell, and being a Catholic I know that there isn't much room for snow in hell. The devil hands out a little snow, but it is a different kind. It is a mentla snow.

Free enterprise on its own cannot compete with the kind of competition that is offered, expecially when it is backed by the entire resources of the Soviet bloc. The nature of the threat posed by Soviet oil in the nature of its weapons limiting the export of this oil is an international problem affecting our national security as well as that of the Free World which senses be solved by the American industry, both international and here, and it can only be solved by the government, and I am happy that the Secretary of the Interior and his staff have the awareness that this is so.

So they come with this agenda item to the industry to produce the facts, if there be any, and there are a multitude of facts on this subject, and I commend the Secretary for his awareness.

Now, delay cannot be brooked in this matter. This is not a thing that you can do, like bringing the storage up from 1955 or 1956 to date. This is on fire, and it has to be

dealt with with fire department agility and energy. So my compliments to the Government. We have shown, I think, our spirit in offering our competent people on committees. These committees have always been representative of all segments of the industry. No one could complain about the composition of the committees. Every viewpoint is covered, and I assume without asking the question that such will be the composition of the committee. I have faith in the Appointments Chairman, that he has a great awareness of this point, and without talking about it and asking you to do it, Frank, I know we will get an Appointments Committee that is well represented in all segments of the industry here and abroad.

I might give you one more item. In urging that we hurn up to do this job, I don't meen that it will be done carelessly, but there has been a multitude of facts gathered, and all we are going to do is accumulate and compile them, and tender them to the Interior Department, which is the agency with which we deal on oil in our governmental setup.

I want to give you just three little items, and I usually talk an hour on the subject when I am all steamed up, but this time I will be through in two minutes.

The free competitive basis of international trade has been under an attack to which it is highly vulnerable, as an instrument of the USSR's stated sims to dominate the world, Soviet exports are not subject to economic forces which

determine the free movement of labor, capital and other resources in the rest of the world. On the contrary, Soviet exports, whether of oil or other products, are merely part of a program to gain economic and political control over free countries. The prices of Soviet exports are determined not by objective economic considerations alone, but by communistic political goals as well.

In the case of oil, the Soviets employ a two price system, a high price to those satellite markets over which they have succeeded in gaining an-I am afraid--permanent control, unless something is done about it, and economically low prices to those countries which it seeks to control. As an example this is published by the Russians themselves. They have been forcing the satellite countries to pay \$3.02 per barrel for oil they were selling to Free World nations for \$1.39.

Furthermore, the Soviets slmost exclusive use of bilateral and barter trade, and emphasis on government to government agreements, destroy the free multi-lateral foundations of trade which the Free World has marked so hard to achieve.

Now, this Free World commerce and institutions, with their non-political foundation and emphasis on fair competition, are easy prey to the politically oriented and state controlled trade of the communists.

Now, I will just give you one more example, and then I will sit down. / For example, private industry cannot compete

with the kind of pricing practices that enable the Soviets to sell oil at prices which will not cover even the royalty and tax payments which the industry pays on Middle Rest crude oil or to provide petroleum to Cubs without regard to price or cost, hor can the industry enter into bilateral barter arrangements such as the one whereby the USSR accepted the output of Italian industries to find markets for its oil, and where private industry strives to cooperate in the realization of national political and economic objectives it cannot long survive if it were to operate continuously at a loss.

Moreover, the Soviet oil industry, with an absolutely protected internal market, no competition within their own home grounds and no firmly established investments outside the Soviet bloc, is not exposed to competition from free world oil companies.

report to the Interior Department, where we can only do it through the Interior Department to the other government departments affected, but if a policy is not decided on by this Government we are in a hell of a fix, and I am not only interested in the oil business—that is my livelihood—but I am the father of twelve kids and thirty-four grandchildren, and green flags on the bellies of three of the kids expected within the next six months. So with me it is more than oil, and I don't think we ought to waste a lot of time over how is

12

13

14

15

.16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
this going to affect the domestic oil industry. We will work out the oil industry. We are in a pretty tough fix ourselves, but we will work that out, but don't let's invite the Soviete to behead us. I don't like to be beheaded, particularly being of Polish extraction, by Russians. Thank you.

I would like to second your motion now, if I might.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any discussion?

Are you ready for the question?

All in favor of the adoption of the report of the Agains.
```

All in favor of the adoption of the report of the Age

(All say "aye.")

Contrary, "no."

(No response)

THE CHAIRMAN: The report is unanimously adopted.

Thank you very much, Mr. Acting Chairman.

May I inquire if Mr. McDermott, the Deputy Director of the Office of Emergency Planning, is in the room?

MR. PINEY: He wasn't able to be here.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you expect him at all?

MR. FINEY: No, he sent word recently he would not be here.

THE CHAIRMAN: Now, after Berney Majewaki has one ted like he has on a matter very vital to your heart, Mr. Assistant Secretary, and given such an enthusiastic approval, may I suggest now that we will be glad to hear from you in connection

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

with anything you have to say to the Council.

MR. KELLY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Mr. Secretary.

It is pretty hard to follow Barney. One thing Barney didn't mention, he is half Irish, too. So the 100 percent Irish, I don't think could compare with the half Irish and half Polish. So, Barney, I am not going to try to compete with you, but I do want to emphasize from the standpoint of Interior some of the thoughts that you did throw out.

The request that Interior made this morning to the Agenda Committee that the Council take on the factual study of the effects of the Soviet economic penetration is one that I would like to hear reemphasized, the seriousness that we give to the Soviet penetration, the threat of the Soviet economic penetration. Also, we would like to emphasize, Mr. Chairman, and if possible ask your cooperation in having the Committee appointed as soon as possible, having a good solid working committee appointed as suggested by Barney; that the committee go to work as soon as possible after its appointment to prepare and present to the Secretary the factual information and data that they come up with. The issue is urgent. We in Government consider it urgent, and we know from the tenor of the remarks made at the Agenda Committee meeting and also Barney's remarks here this morning, that you in industry also consider this one of the most serious and urgent problems facing us today.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Kelly, it is my idea we may get

together and agree upon a chairman for this committee before we get away today.

MR. KELLY: Fine. I will be glad to work with you and Mr. Porter and we will see what we can come up with.

I should like to touch briefly upon two items of national security, both of which require the continued high degree of cooperation which we have learned to expect from this Council and from the petroleum industry as a whole.

First, the necessity for a competent industry advisory group to assist in peacetime in the assessment of worldwide demand and supply of petroleum under various assumed conditions of emergency.

As you all know, the Military Petroleum Advisory Board did this job, and did it very well, from 1947 through 1957.

Some of you here today served on MPAB and can recall the tremendous amount of brainpower that can be brought to bear by an advisory group of this highly specialized type when they tackle a problem. However, as you know, the MPAB ran into the possibility of antitrust implications and since 1957 has been inactive.

A proposal was initiated by Interior in 1959 to extend the scope of the Foreign Petroleum Supply Committee under the Voluntary Agreement authorized by the Defense Production Act, so that the FPSC could cover worldwide petroleum activities and render assistance of the type previously

5.

G STREET, N. W.

provided by the MPAB. This resulted in the establishment by the Secretary of the Interior of a Readiness Subcommittee of the FPSC on December 19, 1960. This Subcommittee was not activated due to technical and legal difficulties raised by the May 8, 1956 amendments to the Voluntary Agreement.

Mindful of the international situation and of the urgent need for industry advice in planning, Secretary Udall and the staff of the Department of the Interior, with a great assistance that soon we will again have the benefit of high-calibre industry assistance in dealing with the problems of petroleum planning for defense. The Voluntary Agreement has been further amended, with the blessing of the Departments of Defense, Interior and Justice, so as to insure antitrust immunity and to provide the collective action under Government supervision which is so vital to this type of work.

Invitations have gone out to 22 companies to participate in the activities of the Voluntary Agreement as presently amended. Thirteen companies have already accepted. I am sure it will not be long before the others respond.

These companies will be asked to provide technical experts to serve on a special subcommittee of the FPSC which will assist the Office of Oil and Gas in making the complex studies needed for national defense planning. We shall then be ready to start on a highly classified study already requested

by the Department of Defense.

Now, the second item of national security relates to the status of Interior's Executive Reserve Program. At the regional level there are now available ninety key people from the ranks of the petroleum and gas industries ready to be called upon if the need arises. As of yet no appointments from industry have been made of individuals to serve in the National Headquarters Executive Reserve. We plan to remedy this very shortly. It is quite likely that those appointed will have to spend some time here in Washington, probably as WOC employees of the Department of the Interior, in order that coordinated plans for petroleum preparedness can be significantly strengthened.

I am sure that when the call goes out, the petroleum industry will respond, as it always has in the pest, and that together we shall continue to maintain our national petroleum resources in a state of readiness against emergencies.

Mr. Chairman, that concludes my report.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions which any member would like to direct to Mr. Kelly?

MR. BRUCE K. BROWN: I would like to comment very briefly when Secretary Kelly is through. I don't wish to ask him a question.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any further questions or comments?

MR. BRUCE BROWN: I was hoping I could get this out
before Secretary Udall left. That is the reason I interrupted

6.

. 8

10

1.1

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

It will take me the orlantes.

I am taking the privilege of an old hand, and I hope it won't be thought ill of, but I am very happy to see the ogpresive way in which the Interior Department executives are
undertaking these oil problems, and I would like to make a
comment and suggestion. I would like to make a front of the Council mathers, although it is directed to the

Petroleum Conneil for one year torms. / Flacture in the Angeles to the Manager and Petroleum Conneil for one year torms. / Flacture in the Manager and Manager and

Council are very busy in many activities, we can well approals that each member out t done to each meeting, no the tradiction has been established that when a member is not present by use appoint someone to impresent him, but not to vote. But we seldom vote, or at least we very seldom have anything but an estimative vote. I think that is fine.

But there was not been, due to the changing in the Administrations, the changing of the people that are responsible for this thing, in my opinion there has not been enough fireness used by the Government in insisting that if a man accepts a Council appointment be comes.

So I would like to recommend to you and Assistant
Secretary Kelly, in the presence of my associates here, that
one thing you could do to stir up this Council would be really
apply the rules. Now, just exactly what the rules are, whether
a man should be able to skip how many meetings, or whether he
should have to come to half the meetings in a year, it doesn't
make any difference, but you would do much better and the
Council will be a better organization if you just appoint
people who were willing to come.

Thank you, sir.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. O'Brien, who is the Director of the Oil and Gas Division, is unable to be here today, but we do have with us the Assistant Director, Mr. Fred Lott, the Assistant Director of the Office of Oil and Gas. Mr. Lott, do you have any comment to make?

MR. LOTT: Mr. Chairman, (Larry) O'Brien sincerely regrets the necessity for his missing this meeting. He found it necessary to be out of the country at this time.

Secretary Udall has suggested a number of the significant developments in our changing world that must be studied and given weight in arriving at advantageous national policies for petroleum and Assistant Secretary Kelly has discussed late developments in two key areas of petroleum planning for security with which the Office of Oil and Gas is directly concerned. In view of the longstanding interest of

: 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

} z this Council in the work of our small organization, it seems useful to review our current activities and some of those are in prospect.

First, I should like to refer to an aspect of direct industry assistance to government that, although of great importance, is not often discussed. In the years since World War II it has been our observation that the men from industry who have worked with us upon Government problems have rapidly acquired a breadth of view that amounts to a reorientation of much of their thinking that can be attained in no other way. They have taken satisfaction in this broadening process and acquired an increased respect and sympathy for those of us who strive to adhere to an objective judgment of the complex and vital issues that frequently must be faced. As a result the value to the nation of these men is greatly enhanced. When they return to their careers in industry they become our greatest advocates and supporters among their colleagues. This attitude, of course, pays real dividends in terms of our work and is most gratifying to us who remain in Washington.

As you know, organized help by the industry has been curtailed for some years. This Council has remained a bulwark of strength but as the cold war tensions have continued, the need for comprehensive defense studies of the MPAB-type has been keenly felt. The clearance recently obtained to establish an effective industry group under the Voluntary Agreement

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

. 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

related to Foreign Petroleum Supply is thus a welcome breakthrough after a protracted period of frustration. The values to
be realized will include not only the difficult analyses to be
made of defense problems but importantly the reopening of
channels of communication between industry and government
people, with attendant personal contacts and growth of mutual
understanding of each others problems and how best to solve them
in the interest of all.

We like to regard the mission of the Office of Oil and Gas as primarily to provide a staff with sound knowledge of the oil and gas industry, its basic problems and capabilities, and to utilize that staff to clarify and interpret those elements to best serve the government's needs. In this capacity we are repeatedly called upon as consultants by other departments of government to study and report upon oil and gas problems. the Departments of Defense and State alone we have made more than twenty such reports during the past year. Material assistance of a technical and analytical nature has been given also on a continuing basis to the Office of Emergency Planning, the Department of Commerce, and other agencies. emphasis has been upon the development of as valid a view as the present state of knowledge will permit of the long-range relation of the United States petroleum resource to our fuels needs, and upon the problems created by Soviet initiatives to capture important petroleum markets in strategic parts of the

.9

Free World. The Office is assisting also with part of the fuels and energy study being made for Senator Anderson's But - committee.

We enjoy the essential support of expert advice as meeded, either from qualified experts or from the resources of groups in the industry such as this one. The process results in a pool of knowledge and information that we feel is progressively more useful to the Nation and to the industry. We take great personal satisfaction in the knowledge that the quality our work is widely recognized and appreclated by those who require it.

The breadth of concern here and abroad over energy problems and policies is evident from the activities of many groups, both private and government. The need for better understanding and clear thinking seems more urgent today than in any recent time. These developments are reflected in requirements on the Office of Oil and Gas for more definitive studies of various aspects of petroleum supply and demand, of the significance of economic trends, and greater activity in the breader phases of defense planning, and in organization for civil defense.

In view of current conditions and the necessity for adequate staff work in support of the policy officials as they face the shifting currents of our time, a madest expansion in the Office of Cil and Cas is proposed in the next fincal year.

An enlargement of our capabilities for both analytical and technical evaluation is an obvious need.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Lott, for your remarks.

has worked very closely with the Military arm of the Government, and one of those who has for a good many years been listen between the Military and this Council is our good friend Admiral Biggs. He is here this morning in the capacity of Director.

Petroleum Legistics Policy, Supply and Legistics Policy,
Department of Defense. We will be glad to hear from you,
Admiral Biggs.

ADMIRAL BIGGS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

In view of the announcements that the Chairman made short time ago when he introduced certain members of the Council who were retiring, I don't know whether the same thing will happen to them that happened to me, but they would start over on something similar. I came back to the same job.

In view of the fact that I attended the first meeting of the National Petroleum Council in 1946 as the Deputy Executive Officer of the Army and Navy Petroleum Board, and have been in and out of this business ever since, perhaps I had better join Mr. Graves and Mr. Spencer here. We will all go fishing, especially inasmuch as Secretary Kelly just announced it

G STREET,

looks like we are going to have a counterpart of MPAB back in existence. I think this would be a good time to retire.

Council to the Department of Defense is very hard to put a proper estimate on, because of their extreme value. As a general rule they are quite general in character, but they form the basis on which we can ask embarrassing questions to the Department of the Interior and I think Secretary Kelly is beginning when the flood of things signed by me or my boss is going to stop. Well, I might tell him they won't.

We recently formed an ad hoc group in my shop consisting of members from all the military departments, the Research and Development outfit in OSD, to try to hold down the number of petroleum products being placed in the supply system. We have succeeded fortunately in getting three departments, including the Marine Corps, to state that they will have overseas only one kind of aviation gasoline, 114-145.

Now, to you gentlemen that sounds like it should have been easy, but if you tried to get 80 octane cleared in Okinawa in 1945 that was the first beginning of standardization. Also, if you had been chief engineer of the destroy that the front end of it after World War I was built in Queenstown and the aft end of it was built in the United States, and half of the front end of this boat was British standard and the stern was American standard, you become a devotee of standardization in 1921.

Recently the Council made a report on critical process chemicals, which was one of the best I have seen in many years. The net result in the Department of Defense was to shake our back teeth loose, and some of those world shaking remarks were these; that cracking catalysts, that you have 23 days in the average refinery, some less and some slightly more. You also have twelve days supply of sulfuric acid. I know I have seen trucks hauling into refineries and have asked the man if that truck quit running how long would you run, and he said five days.

I got into trouble in 1950 because of a copper strike in Montana. It practically stopped the production of aviation gasoline in Salt Lake Refinery, because he was the guy who pulled the sulfur out of copper and made sulfuric acid for the refinery in Salt Lake Refining. We got a beautifully dressed Colonel of the Air Force out of the Supply Depot at Ogden, put him on a boxcar with a representative of the union, and went in and got 200 tons of sulfuric acid in order to keep the place running.

Another interesting thing that we have noticed is that the supply of drum inventory in most places in the refining industry is about twelve days. Five gallons are about eight or quart cans are about eight. I don't know whether you are going to make the investment to increase this or not. You probably won't. However, I will keep talking about it.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

The other thing that we are very much interested in at the moment in the Department of Defense is the ancient and honorable wheeze. Now you can all go to sleep. You have heard this one before, and I am back on the middle distillate horse, It just so happens that the heating oil demand in 1950 was approximately 650,000 barrels a day; as of the end of 1960 1t was 1,095,000, in spite of the fact that the equivalent gas used to be in 1957 100 odd to 760,000 barrels equivalent heating oil, and in 1960 it was 1,985,000, but the good old middle distillate is still taking a beating. Your aviation commercial at the present moment is running about 105,000 barrels a day in kerosene. It is not the virgin kind necessarily that we talked about in 1946. However, it is expected according to the Aviation Industry Association's estimate to go to 260,000 barrels a day by 1965. To my mind that estimate is conservative.

Now, we only want a matter of 400,000 now and then, 350 or 400. That is peacetime, gentlemen. I used to figure an F-6, F-45 uses 75 gellons per engine hour. We have engines nowadays that can burn 1200 without exerting themselves. We have some that average a matter of four or five hundred just in an ordinary course of human events. You pull her ears back and she might do 5,000 an hour for those eight engines. So what are we going to do about it?

You remember back in 1949 that I made certain well-

came to me for a certificate of necessity to get steel to build a refinery, and if the company was big enough we looked at it and got extremely curious as to where the alki unit was because it seemed it would be extremely difficult to get steel unless there was an alocolation unit in the place. That was a matter of mild black mail perchance, but nevertheless it worked.

Now, what we have got to do, it seems to me--and I am not a refinery expert or anything else in that line, as you gentlemen are well aware, but we have to get some kind of a hook up that will make economical high test gasoline during peacetime and then give it a slight tickle and slow it down or cool it off or change its nature somehow so that it will make high quality middle distillates. So now we have got more alogolate than they can use. People haven't started drinking it yet, but I am sure that the oil industry would like to develop some sort of a cocktail with it.

And just a few words about this Soviet business. Now there is more to this than just the business of selling oil at a dollar a barrel f.o.b. the Black Sea, because as my old friend Barney just pointed out awhile ago the Ethiopian in this piece of lumber is the fact that the Soviet is getting the industry in these countries dependent upon them for a market. For what? Forty inch pipe, diesel engines, valves.

≯ Z pumps--they seem to have considerable trouble meeting their commitments in certain countries where consumer goods are the trade item on the barter business. They have fallen down in their deliveries, but at the same time they are putting the pressure on anybody with whom they have an industrial equipment deal.

Now, you don't have to have a blueprint to see what that indicates. I want to express my appreciation to the Council for all the help they have been to me, and I am sure that as we get organized on this other business you will decide that maybe you will be crying "Help." Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Admiral.

(Applause)

THE CHAIRMAN: We now have the report of the Secretary-Treasurer of the Council, Mr. Brown.

MR. JAMES V. BROWN: From the beginning of January to the end of October, we received from you members contributions plus other revenues, including earnings on our savings accounts and so on, \$110,922. We have spent so far up to the end of October \$91,001. We began the year with \$19,920 in our general funds. Therefore, the balance in the general fund at the present time was \$8,205. We will perhaps exceed our budget some this year.

I think you have heard some explanations of how we

have expanded our workload and that will account for that.

The general reserve that I have been able to hang unto is an investment of \$81,500. Its present value is \$93,154. We have a reserve set aside for special purposes. The Chairman may give you some idea on that. This is an investment of \$68,500 or a value of \$73,225. That is the report on the finances, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: You have heard the report, gentlemen.

Are there any comments? If not, it will be filed.

I am delighted to see one of our former senior members of the Council, Dr. Wilson here.

DR. WILSON: Now a juntor member.

THE CHAIRMAN: Now a junior member. Dr. Wilson had the record of not having missed a meeting of the Council, as I recall, when he retired from his position in the industry and took over in private industry. Doctor, won't you have a few words to say for us? I am sure that the members of the Council would be delighted to hear you. Dr. Robert E. Wilson.

(Applause)

DR. WILSON: I don't think I have very much to say.

I am still in the energy business and still of the same opinion as previously with regard to the fact that atomic energy is no real threat to the oil business. I haven't changed that opinion and am doing what I can to promote the atomic energy business for I feel it is sound and helpful to the whole

economy, but I don't believe in building power plants just to build power plants.

(Applause)

THE CHAIRMAN: Is Congressman Frank Ikard here?

Please come forward, Congressman. I want to present you to the members of this Council because you are going to be on our team here.

Gentlemen, I want to present the distinguished Congressman from Texas, Congressman Ikard, who is still a Member of Congress, but who will shortly become Executive Vice President of the American Petroleum Institute. Congressman Ikard.

MR. IKARD: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. It is a pleasure to be here this morning, and I am looking forward to my association and greater activity with the industry, and I am here this morning more to learn, Mr. Chairman, than to do anything else.

MR. BRIDWELL: Mr. Chairman, I want you to recognize he is from Wichita Falls, Texas.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is right, and he is a friend of Joe Bridwell's.

For the record I desire to say at the February 7th meeting of the Council this year the Chairman of the Council was authorized to put into effect a pension plan for those key employees of the Council with the appropriate length of service.

On May 1, 1961, on behalf of the Council I executed an agreement with the Secretary-Tressurer providing him with suitable pension benefits to commence upon his retirement. Sufficient Council funds have been set aside in reserve to enable the Council to meet its obligations under this pension arrangement.

Gentlemen, we have finished the agenda. Is there any new business?

MR. ANDERSON: We would like to make a study here today that is rather unusual in its nature as it deals with a foreign government, and it has occurred to me that if the industry is to do this as a joint effort that such a document might be of considerable use to the Soviet Union, and during the preparation and at least until such time as the document can be reviewed it should be treated as a highly confidential matter. Certainly this has implications over and above the industry, and it just seems to me that if all of the people who are here are to cooperate to the fullest that the document should be protected to the greatest possible degree.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.

MR. MAJEWSKI: May I make a remark on that? This is going to be within the province of the Secretary of the Interior and his staff and several government departments, and I don't think you are going to suggest putting a confidential muzzle on a public officer, because hell nothing is a secret

anymore. I mean the Russians haven't been working in the dark. They have been knocking us off economically for a long time, and I am talking about Americans. They know all of this now. Hell, they initiated it. They know all about our competition over in the foreign markets. So I don't go for my friend from New Mexico who wants to be cautious with the Russians. I want to give them every gun. They already got it at our head.

Is there any new business?

If not, a motion to adjourn is in order.

All in favor say "aye."

(All say "aye")

(Whereupon, at 11:30 o'clock, a.m., the meeti

THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any further remarks?

(Whereupon, at 11:30 o'clock, a.m., the meeting was adjourned.)